

ECONOMIC COUNCIL LETTER

Published Semi-Monthly by

NATIONAL ECONOMIC COUNCIL, Inc.

Empire State Bldg., New York 1, N. Y.
903 First National Bank Bldg., Utica 2, N. Y.
606 National Union Bldg., Washington 4, D. C.

Council Letter No. 220

August 1, 1949

Who Is Selling Out Your Freedom?

A year ago the wife of the Chilean representative in the United Nations ran down Mrs. Friedberg at Great Neck, Long Island. The latter has been unable to collect even for doctor's bills.

Under the agreement of the United States with the United Nations (Public Law 291, passed by the 79th Congress), all United Nations personnel are immune from suit of any nature. This immunity extends not only to the higher officials but to all employees, including maidservants, cooks and chauffeurs.

Personnel of the United Nations may sue in American courts and may acquire and dispose of real and personal property, yet they may not be sued, even on a contract, in American courts.

By letting the "United Nations" set up its headquarters in the United States and by surrendering to it part of our sovereignty, we have subjected ourselves to a foreign master. We have, in effect, taken an alien conqueror to dwell in our midst.

George Sokolsky has pointed out that Americans connected with U.N. are immune under the exemptions granted U.N. personnel. However, Americans employed by U.N. are exempt from income taxes on their salaries, although other Americans, including Government officials, must pay.

Some day possibly the car of a U.N. official or chauffeur will knock down and mangle some one, and the crowd, seeing the U.N. tag and knowing there is no redress, will beat up the driver. In such case, will U.N. assert the right to have some of that crowd removed to Paris or Warsaw or Moscow for trial? That's one of the things England did when we were a colony. One of the complaints in the Declaration of Independence was that George III had "transported us beyond seas to be tried."

Senator Pat McCarran, Chairman of the Judiciary Committee, is investigating a hundred suspected spies among those aliens granted this immunity. Rear Admiral Hillenkoetter, director of Central Intelligence Agency, recently testified that 29 of the 100 are "high Communist officials," and declared it must "be assumed they are working for the benefit of their government."

As far back as September 10, 1946, Mr. Willard Edwards stated in the WASHINGTON TIMES HERALD that there were even then 179 international organizations in the United States (11 of whom had already been certified), and all eligible for the privileges and immunities granted under the arrangement with the United Nations to which the United States had submitted.

So, Mr. Citizen, you can see what's in store for you in future.

Does this tend to preserve the freedom of Americans?

Reciprocal Trade Treaties

Former Secretary of State Hull had an obsession for "reciprocal trade treaties" with other countries. Practically all these "treaties" have been adopted to encourage foreign nations to ship certain of their commodities and manufactured goods into the United States, thus compelling Americans to compete with lower paid labor in other countries. Already the effect on certain American industries, as for instance, the watch industry, is devastating. At the end of the World War I, there were 21 American companies making watch movements. This meant a supply of highly skilled labor to which the Government could turn for precision workers in time of war. At the end of World War II, only 3 American watch companies survived. One of these, the Waltham Watch Company, was unable last December to meet its payroll, and is now operating under a receiver.

As of the moment, therefore, we have practically killed at least one vital American industry—all to the benefit of foreign manufacturers, principally the Swiss, who have largely driven American-made watches out of the market. And now the Swiss announce price increases of 8 to 15% effective after October 31st next.

Does this tend to preserve the freedom of Americans?

The Spanish Travesty

Promptly upon the conclusion of the Spanish Civil War, April 1, 1939, President Roosevelt gave full recognition to the Franco Government of Spain. On the eve of the American landing in North Africa, in a private letter delivered by Ambassador Hayes to General Franco, President Roosevelt, in order to assure the continuance of Franco's neutrality in the war, told Franco that **"SPAIN HAS NOTHING TO FEAR FROM THE UNITED NATIONS."** By this he meant the allied nations, for as yet the "United Nations" had not come into existence. Nevertheless, at the Potsdam Conference in the summer of 1945, Roosevelt's ally, Stalin, proposed to Truman and Attlee hostile action against Spain. Mr. Truman and Mr. Attlee stood out against this; but they finally agreed that a resolution would be passed by the United Nations calling on the

various countries to withdraw their ambassadors from Spain.

It was clearly a Communist move, for none but Communists could profit by it. It was one more appeasement of Stalin. Thereafter many countries did withdraw their ambassadors. Since that time the United States has maintained in Madrid only a charge d'affaires.

During the past two years there has been growing indignation in the United States, both within and without the Congress, at this arrangement. No nation in all Europe has more effectively opposed Communism than Spain. The announced purpose of our spending hundreds of millions in Greece and of the many billions we are putting into ERP, is to defeat Communism. Yet, while it is so useful in Greece, or even Britain, would be any great factor in holding back a Soviet Russian attack, Spain would be the one bulwark on which we could rely if meanwhile we don't destroy her. Practically every high official in the Department of Defense is of this opinion. The establishing of close relations with Spain might easily make the difference between American defeat of Communism and Communist defeat of America.

Still certain people back of the scenes—persons never elected and some of them not even holding appointment today—keep the State Department pursuing its fatuous policy of hostility to Spain. We have full diplomatic relations with the Iron Curtain countries—indeed, with Soviet Russia herself. Yet Mr. Truman, in his July 15th press conference, stated that "The United States is not on friendly relations with Spain at this present time."

Does our State Department represent Soviet Russia?

Does all this tend to preserve the freedom and independence of Americans?

Palestine and "Israel"

On November 29, 1947, the Assembly of the United Nations passed a resolution recommending the partition of Palestine between Jews and Arabs. To force this resolution through, it was reported in the press, President Truman got on the phone with representatives of certain small countries, all members of the United Nations, and under threat, expressed or implied, forced them to vote for it. Clearly it was of vital importance to those pulling the wires behind the American scenes that this resolution go through.

Ever since then the State Department has gone all out, at times violating the spirit if not the letter of the law, to establish and develop the so-called nation of "Israel." Newspapers such as THE NEW YORK TIMES and NEW YORK HERALD TRIBUNE, the WASHINGTON POST and others that work consistently for the aims of those most interested in setting up the State of Israel, have given Israel and its propaganda an amount of space out of all proportion to the importance of the subject.

When the United Jewish Appeal—whose funds include generous appropriations for such domestic spy organizations as the Anti-Defamation League and contributions to which are made deductible before taxes by the Treasury Department—failed by 100 millions to secure the 250 million dollars which was its goal for 1948, the Export-Import Bank promptly made a loan of 100 millions to the Government of Israel. Neither this bank nor any Government agency was permitted

to loan a single dollar to the Government of Spain.

What on earth did the loan of taxpayers' money to this communistic state have to do with the liberties of American citizens?

Subsidizing Socialism

With American Savings

In 1946 the Congress was persuaded to loan nearly 4 billions to Britain. The announced purpose was to get Britain thoroughly on her feet in three years. Conceivably it might have worked if the Labor Government of Britain had not insisted on going ahead with its socialistic schemes. As nationalization of industry proceeded, output declined, profits disappeared and losses rose. The whole loan was used up within a year. Thereafter Congress was persuaded to give the so-called Marshall Plan under which about 5 billions was appropriated for the first year, and a somewhat similar appropriation is pending in Congress today.

These loans to Britain and most other countries are a subsidization of Socialism. The flat denial of this by Socialists does not alter the fact. As Senator James P. Kem of Missouri, author of the Kem Amendment, pointed out on July 18th in a letter to THE CHRISTIAN SCIENCE MONITOR, already 400 million dollars of Marshall Plan counterpart funds have been used to retire part of the national British debt—although the debt is constantly being increased by giving owners of nationalized industries Government bonds in payment.

Senator Kem also pointed out that raw materials and machinery are furnished directly under the Marshall Plan to the socialized industries of Britain; that these industries operate at a loss, and that this loss is made up indirectly through Marshall Plan counterpart funds, that British Socialism slows down production, thereby increasing the dollar shortage, which shortage is made up by Marshall Plan funds. He points out in conclusion that the Marshall Plan has been used as a slush fund to keep British Socialist politicians in power.

Does all this further American liberties—or tend to destroy them?

What Has Happened?

These, and a multitude of other instances that could be cited, show how the American people are being mulcted and looted for the benefit of Socialism and Communism in other lands.

Why are these things happening?

Why has all American policy, both domestic and foreign, been so completely reversed within a generation? Such a change does not come about by accident. It comes only by design.

What has happened?

It is part of the propaganda of left-wingers, and of superficial minds deceived by left-wingers, to speak of all these happenings as a "trend." They say "democracy" is on the march. So was "labor." So was, and is, Socialism—that is, Communism. In our schools and colleges and in some of our churches, as well as on the radio, the stage and the screen, the whole impression is constantly given that the American system has failed, and that there is a "trend" to a better way of life.

But what is happening is that the American system of private enterprise and individual liberty is becoming honeycombed and undermined.

Practically none of this happened before 1933. The Constitution, and the institutions of private enterprise, private property and individual initiative, were highly respected down to that time—except by a small group of extremists who talked about the beauties of the Soviet Russian system. Down to then the American people had their greatest liberty. Under that liberty they had developed the highest standard of living.

We had had depressions before 1929—and we came through all of them. And we always raised the standard of living of the people to a still higher point. The American people were getting out of the 1929 depression—evidence of that became apparent in June of 1932. But in spite of the Democratic platform of 1932, excellent in many ways, the economic crisis started down again in the fall as rumors began to circulate that Roosevelt would not stand by his party platform. The alien influence that has governed the United States ever since was breaking into the Government at that time.

When Roosevelt came in there began the era of deficit spending. Our public debt rose from about 22 billions in 1933 to about 48 billions when we entered World War II. The Government had injected itself into every phase of American life and its new bureaucracy was staffed in nearly all important places by left-wingers and communist spies. Still there was no great improvement economically. Deficit spending and the experiment in statism failed.

Then we switched from the happy policy of minding our own business internationally to interventionism. There is little doubt that Britain would never have guaranteed the integrity of Poland and hence would never have become involved in war, had not the British Government received assurances from Roosevelt that America would come into the war.

And of course America got into the war. Pearl Harbor was successfully engineered, as John T. Flynn has shown in THE ROOSEVELT MYTH. The American people rallied. Their loyalty and courage and their production know-how under private capitalism brought victory.

Fruits of Military Victory Have Been Thrown Away

But from the moment of military victory American diplomatic policy was a long succession of disasters to America and the American people. At the same time it was a long series of victories for Soviet Russia and her Communism, and for Britain, France and other socialistic countries from the standpoint of Socialism.

At Yalta, in spite of our half-century policy of the Open Door in China, China and all Asia were betrayed to Soviet Russia. At the close of the war many billions of American materiel were wantonly destroyed; but so great is the influence of those who have directed the policy of the American Administration the past 16 years, that no one we know of, except ex-President Hoover and the National Economic Council, dares enough or cares enough to ask what became of it.

The project of reversing all American policy within a few years must have been the work of highly intelligent individuals who have known exactly what they wanted. Human beings act under the leadership of individuals. Invariably some one individual stands out as the prime mover. Who might this individual be? It was not Roosevelt, for Roosevelt was too unstable and too impatient, too much of a puppet and of too super-

ficial a mind, to conceive by himself a deep plot and carry it persistently as far as this plot has been carried.

We do not believe it has been Mr. Truman. Much of the evil work was planned or accomplished before Mr. Truman reached prominence. Besides, Mr. Truman is a kindly man who with many virtues would hardly claim the mental power that would enable him to conceive and lead so deep a plot.

Wittingly or unwittingly, both Roosevelt and Truman have been tools of the great design, just as have such men as Dean Acheson, Hull, Harry Hopkins, Stettinius, Thomas E. Dewey, Wendell Willkie.

We know of no one in Congress in recent years who has had the combination of time, ability and willingness to conceive and carry out such a conspiracy. It is not the Democratic Party—that party has merely been captured by this left-wing element. It is certainly not the Republican Party, though that party has been so infiltrated by this same left-wing element that its national conventions during four successive Presidential campaigns have been unable to do more than adopt a weasel-worded, milk-and-water, me-too, New Dealish platform, and to nominate candidates who have immediately proceeded to be nearly as good New Dealers as their Democratic opposite numbers.

Felix Frankfurter

There is just one man who, by his ability, mental capacity, liking for intrigue and lust for power, stands out above all others we can think of as the leader of this movement to destroy the American Republic.

That man is Felix Frankfurter. Frankfurter, born in Austria, now 67, was brought to this country when 12 years of age. He is a graduate of City College in New York and of Harvard Law School. He was Professor of Law at Harvard from 1914 to 1939 when Roosevelt named him to the Supreme Court of the United States.

During Frankfurter's term at the Law School, he inculcated vast numbers of students with his socialistic-communistic views. Many of the best known figures who are today Communists or have been or are accused of it are former Frankfurter students. These men include such figures as Nathan Witt, Lee Pressman, Alger Hiss and Jerome Frank. A high proportion of Frankfurter's graduates are ardent Zionists.

Frankfurter appeared on June 23rd at the Hiss trial in New York as a voluntary witness to the good character of Alger Hiss. Justice Stanley F. Reed also appeared. Reed, it has just been announced, was subpoenaed. But Frankfurter came voluntarily.

About that time it was common talk in Washington that Hiss would not be convicted, and the reason usually given was that conviction of Hiss would point to others at the very top in Government. Certainly Frankfurter's offering himself as a witness means that those who mold the nation's destiny regard it as of the highest importance to bring about Hiss' acquittal. So far as we can learn, no other Justice of the Supreme Court has ever offered himself as a character witness in a criminal trial.

Kaufman and the Hiss Trial

A good deal has been said about Judge Kaufman who presided at the Hiss trial. Kaufman had for a whole year failed of confirmation as a Federal District

Judge. Not until the 81st Congress came in last year was he confirmed.

Mr. Murphy, prosecuting attorney in the Hiss trial, sought to cross-examine Frankfurter about his many recommendations to public office. Frankfurter fenced about, and presently Kaufman ruled that such questioning was irrelevant. In other words Frankfurter, who had come as a character witness for the defendant Hiss, had to be protected by an extraordinary ruling of the presiding judge.

Frankfurter boasted, as men of his kind are wont to do, of the vast number of people he had recommended for high position both in public and private life.

And here Frankfurter was on firm ground. It is common knowledge that Frankfurter has got much of his left-wing philosophy into high places throughout the Government of the United States and probably in high, or certainly very useful places in organizations such as the Carnegie Foundation (of which Alger Hiss was President when he was indicted) that undoubtedly have often cooperated with left-wingers in public office.

If any one individual heads the movement to socialize and perhaps to communize the Government of the United States, then, because of all the circumstances including his own very great ability, that man is Felix Frankfurter.

Frankfurter's Lieutenants

But there are many lieutenants, some of them strange birds indeed.

Sitting right in the White House for many years under Roosevelt and now under Truman, there is one David Niles. It is said of Niles that he passes on who may and who may not see the President. Like Frankfurter, he is an able man and knows what he wants.

Who is Niles? David Niehaus. Where did he come from, and what has been his past record? He is not mentioned in *Who's Who*. Why did he change his name? Do any men in the Congress really know about him? Why is he there?

The late Roosevelt referred to several of his assistants as "men with a passion for anonymity." A testimonial to their modesty? Or an indication of their purpose? Their "passion for anonymity" certainly deserves reconsideration today.

And there are many others besides Frankfurter and Niles. The late LaGuardia was close to that crowd, if not a part of it. Former Governor Herbert Lehman of New York, with his power in finance, is one of their political idols.

Their Alien Contacts

These men, and certainly Frankfurter, have their contacts with men of similar minds in other countries. For instance, Harold Laski of London, one of the greatest props of the Communist Party in Europe, usually unheralded and little publicized, has made frequent visits to this country. He has been an incessant visitor at the White House. Unquestionably he and his fellow-Zionist, Frankfurter, have collaborated.

In London it is common knowledge among informed men that power does not lie in the House of Commons.

The House of Commons merely registers the decisions of an inner body. That inner body is the left-wing labor leaders of the country who meet by themselves and determine policy. Some of them are of English descent—many more are either immigrants to Britain, or their families have been there only one or two generations. They are of the same general stripe in many ways as the American leaders of whom we have just been speaking.

Many times the Congress has acted in a surprising way. One or both Houses, in spite of obviously definite convictions on the part of members, as shown in private conversations, have somehow been rallied to a contrary action. An instance was last year when the House of Representatives, by a vote of about 3 to 2, inserted Spain in the list of countries to be beneficiaries under the Marshall Plan. Immediately back-stage got busy, and when the measure came to the White House, Spain had been eliminated.

Obviously, America is governed today, not by the duly elected Congress and President, but by the cabal of which Felix Frankfurter is the head.

This cabal has ramifications in press, radio, stage, education—even in the churches. Its stooges are found in news and editorial rooms, in publishing houses, in retail book shops throughout the land. It is the author and chief factor that is forcing the American people into slavery today.

If the Congress wishes to stop this movement into slavery, it should investigate Mr. Justice Felix Frankfurter, his volunteering as a witness in a criminal trial, his appointments, his recommendations, his associates, his contacts, and the generally evil influence he has long exercised.

Memorandum K. H. Hall

President,

NATIONAL ECONOMIC COUNCIL, Inc.

Send subscriptions to New York Office

Subscription price of Council Letter, issued semi-monthly; Action Report and Council Papers, issued occasionally; together with Council Review of Books, edited by Rose Wilder Lane, and issued monthly:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------|
| 12 months | \$10.00 |
| 6 months | 6.00 |
| 3 months | 3.50 |
| Airmail—Domestic | 11.44 |
| Airmail—Western Europe | 18.00 |
| Other countries—Rates on request | |

Special rate for student or teacher, \$5.00 a year.

Extra copies this Letter:

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| 1 to 10 | 10c each |
| 11 to 99 | 7c each |
| *100 | \$6.00 |
| *500 | \$5.00 per 100 |
| *1000 | \$4.00 per 100 |

Special prices for larger quantities on request

Please add 2 per cent sales tax for deliveries in Greater New York.

* Plus 3% shipping charges.

This Council Letter may be quoted in whole or part, provided due credit is given to the National Economic Council, Inc., and quotation is specified to be from Economic Council Letter No. 220, August 1, 1949.